

# Cambridge International A Level

---

**ENGLISH LANGUAGE****9093/32**

Paper 3 Language Analysis

**February/March 2024****MARK SCHEME**Maximum Mark: 50

---

**Published**

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the February/March 2024 series for most Cambridge IGCSE, Cambridge International A and AS Level components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

---

This document consists of **13** printed pages.

**PUBLISHED****Generic Marking Principles**

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptions for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:**

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:**

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:**

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:**

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:**

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:**

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

**PUBLISHED****English & Media subject specific general marking principles****(To be read in conjunction with the Generic Marking Principles (and requiring further guidance on how to place marks within levels))****Components using level descriptors:**

- We use level descriptors as a guide to broad understanding of the qualities normally expected of, or typical of, work in a level.
- Level descriptors are a means of general guidance, and should not be interpreted as hurdle statements.
- Where indicative content notes are supplied for a question, these are *not* a prescription of required content, and must not be treated as such. Alternative correct points and unexpected answers in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the knowledge and skills demonstrated.
- While we may have legitimate expectations as to the ground most answers may occupy, we must at all times be prepared to meet candidates on their chosen ground, provided it is relevant ground (e.g. clearly related to and derived from a relevant passage/text and meeting the mark scheme requirements for the question).

**English Language specific marking instructions:****AO1 Read and demonstrate understanding of a wide variety of texts. (Understanding)**

- Marks should be awarded for the accuracy of the candidate's understanding of the text and its features, **not** for any analysis of them which is recognised under AO3.
- Where comparison is required, marks should be awarded for the candidate's recognition of similarities and differences between the texts and their features, **not** for any consequent analysis.
- When awarding a 'best-fit' mark within a Level for each AO, consider each bullet point equally.

**AO2 Write effectively, creatively, accurately and appropriately, for a range of audiences and purposes. (Writing)**

- Marks should be awarded equally on the basis of the level of the candidate's written expression (range of features used and accuracy) and the development of their writing (its organisation and relevance to task and audience).
- When awarding a 'best-fit' mark within a Level for each AO, consider each bullet point equally.

**AO4: Demonstrate understanding of linguistic issues, concepts, methods and approaches. (Conceptualisation)**

- Marks should be awarded equally on the basis of the level of the candidate's understanding of the relevant issues, concepts, methods and approaches represented both in the text(s) and in their wider studies.
- When awarding a 'best-fit' mark within a Level for each AO, consider each bullet point equally.

**AO5: Analyse and synthesise language data from a variety of sources. (Data handling)**

- Marks should be awarded equally on the basis of the level of the candidate's selection, analysis and synthesis of language data.
- When awarding a 'best-fit' mark within a Level for each AO, consider each bullet point equally.

Higher marks should be awarded where candidates identify patterns and offer thoughtful and plausible explanations for the features they are discussing.

Weaker answers may spot features or describe individual cases of language change without reference to broader considerations or examples.

**Section A: Language change**

Question	Answer	Marks
1	<p><b>Read <u>Texts A</u>, <u>B</u> and <u>C</u>.</b></p> <p><b>Analyse how <u>Text A</u> exemplifies the various ways in which the English language has changed over time. In your answer, you should refer to specific details from <u>Texts A</u>, <u>B</u> and <u>C</u>, as well as to ideas and examples from your wider study of language change.</b></p> <p>Mark according to the levels of response marking criteria in Table A.</p> <p><b>Additional guidance:</b></p> <p>The comments below are illustrative and are not intended to be exhaustive. Candidates may make other valid comments that should be credited.</p> <p>Candidates may organise their response in any appropriate way. They are not required to focus on lexis, grammar and syntax, orthography and graphology in separate sections.</p> <p><b>Responses might feature comment on the following:</b></p> <p><b>Lexis</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The lexis of Text A as dependent on the context: the source text is an <i>adviser and guide</i>, giving <i>instruction and information useful and necessary</i>.</li> <li>• Lexical fields of the component parts of a house (<i>door ... first floor windows</i>) and household furniture (<i>blankets and sheets ... chair</i>) are evident from the start.</li> <li>• Candidates may notice from its usage in Text A that ‘preserve’/‘preservative’ has undergone semantic narrowing since 1786. In point 15, <i>prefervative</i> is used (<i>As a prefervative against fires</i>) where in contemporary English we might use ‘precaution’ or ‘safety measure’. In point 16, <i>preferve</i> is used (<i>If families have any thing to preferve more than ordinary</i>) in the sense of ‘keep safe’.</li> <li>• Text B draws candidates’ attention to the phrase <i>be cool and ....</i> The contemporary collocations have positive connotations and demonstrate semantic broadening.</li> <li>• The footnotes on Text A provide glosses/definitions for <i>watchmen</i>, <i>fire-plugs</i> and <i>flone-closets</i>. Candidates may suggest the contemporary equivalent ‘fire hydrants’ for <i>fire-plugs</i>.</li> </ul>	25

Question	Answer	Marks
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Endeavour</i> is a more formal and serious alternative to the verb 'try' in contemporary English; as a noun it has the sense of a worthy enterprise. Similarly, <i>furnilhed</i> would now be seen as over-formal for 'provided', though it remains as Standard idiomatic English in specific reference to items of furniture and/or 'soft' furnishings (carpets, curtains).</li> <li>Candidates may be surprised by the apparently contemporary use in Text A of <i>cool: endeavour to be cool</i>. Here, it means 'calm' rather than 'fashionable'; but it may still be worthy of comment if candidates want to explore the metaphorical relationship between temperature and temper.</li> </ul> <p><b>Grammar and syntax</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There are many conditional constructions, describing possible circumstances: <i>if families fhould be fo unfortunate as to be furpriled by fire ... If there be no way out at the top of the houle</i></li> <li>These conditionals are completed by instruction and advice as to what to do in the event of particular occurrences: <i>a perfon might elcape by tying the blankets and fheets together</i></li> <li>Candidates may notice that there is therefore a preponderance of modal verbs – e.g. <i>might/would/fhould</i> – to couch advice in polite terms.</li> <li>A further politeness strategy is that elements of advice and instruction (e.g. <i>To guard against fire, every mafter or miftrefs of a family fhould be particularly attentive, that fervants put every fire out before they go to bed</i>) are generally explained/justified (<i>for if a fire does not begin in your own house, as watchmen are always about to give notice, there is fufficient time to elcape</i>)</li> <li>There is a variety of mode of address: second person (<i>preferve your temper, be cool and wary; don't be in hafte to open your doors and let in the rabble</i>), inclusive first person plural (<i>fear overcomes reafon, and will prevent ftudying our fafety</i>) and more generalised third person (<i>families .... Tradelfmen ... the mafter ...</i>)</li> <li>The practice of ending a clause/sentence with a preposition is perfectly acceptable in contemporary English.</li> </ul> <p><b>Orthography and Graphology</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text C shows what candidates might expect – that one-word, un-hyphenated <i>anything</i> is the norm in contemporary English, becoming more common than two-word <i>any thing</i> in the mid-1800s.</li> <li>The only instance in Text A of spelling which is definitely archaic is <i>cloaths</i> (contemporary English 'clothes'), though Text B has <i>croud</i> for 'crowd'. In both cases, the diphthongs – /aʊ/ and /əʊ/ – could be represented by either combination of letters in contemporary English.</li> <li>Lists in contemporary English commonly end in 'etc.' for the Latin <i>et cetera</i> (= and the other things); and there is generally no comma before the last item. In Text A we have <i>for example, fhop-books, books of account, writings, bank-notes, calh, &amp;c.</i></li> </ul>	

**Marking criteria for Section A Question 1****Table A**

<b>Level</b>	<b>AO2: Write effectively, creatively, accurately and appropriately, for a range of audiences and purposes.</b> <b>5 marks</b>	<b>AO4: Demonstrate understanding of linguistic issues, concepts, methods and approaches.</b> <b>5 marks</b>	<b>AO5: Analyse and synthesise language data from a variety of sources.</b> <b>15 marks</b>
<b>5</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sophisticated expression, with a high level of accuracy</li> <li>Content is fully relevant; ideas are developed throughout in a sophisticated manner</li> </ul> <b>5 marks</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sophisticated understanding of, and insightful reference to, linguistic issues, concepts, methods and approaches</li> </ul> <b>5 marks</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Insightful and fully appropriate selection of language data from all three sources</li> <li>Sophisticated and insightful analysis of language data</li> <li>Sophisticated synthesis of evidence from all three sources of language data</li> </ul> <b>13–15 marks</b>
<b>4</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Effective expression, with a few minor errors which do not impede communication</li> <li>Content is relevant; ideas are developed in an effective manner</li> </ul> <b>4 marks</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Detailed understanding of, and effective reference to, linguistic issues, concepts, methods and approaches</li> </ul> <b>4 marks</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Effective and appropriate selection of language data from all three sources</li> <li>Detailed and effective analysis of language data</li> <li>Effective synthesis of evidence from all three sources of language data</li> </ul> <b>10–12 marks</b>
<b>3</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clear expression, with occasional errors which do not impede communication</li> <li>Content is relevant; ideas are developed clearly</li> </ul> <b>3 marks</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clear understanding of, and appropriate reference to, linguistic issues, concepts, methods and approaches</li> </ul> <b>3 marks</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clear and appropriate selection of language data from at least two sources</li> <li>Clear analysis of language data</li> <li>Clear synthesis of evidence from at least two sources of language data</li> </ul> <b>7–9 marks</b>

<b>Level</b>	<b>AO2: Write effectively, creatively, accurately and appropriately, for a range of audiences and purposes.</b> <b>5 marks</b>	<b>AO4: Demonstrate understanding of linguistic issues, concepts, methods and approaches.</b> <b>5 marks</b>	<b>AO5: Analyse and synthesise language data from a variety of sources.</b> <b>15 marks</b>
<b>2</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Expression is clear but may not flow easily, with frequent errors which generally do not impede communication</li> <li>• Content is mostly relevant; ideas are developed in a limited manner</li> </ul> <p>2 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Limited understanding of, but generally appropriate reference to, linguistic issues, concepts, methods and/or approaches</li> </ul> <p>2 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Limited and generally appropriate selection of language data; may be uneven coverage</li> <li>• Limited analysis of language data</li> <li>• Some attempt to synthesise evidence from sources of language data</li> </ul> <p>4–6 marks</p>
<b>1</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Basic expression, with frequent errors which impede communication</li> <li>• Content may lack relevance in parts; minimal development of ideas</li> </ul> <p>1 mark</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Basic understanding of, and minimal reference to, linguistic issues, concepts, methods and/or approaches</li> </ul> <p>1 mark</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Basic and minimal selection of language data</li> <li>• Basic analysis of language data</li> <li>• Minimal attempt to synthesise evidence from sources of language data</li> </ul> <p>1–3 marks</p>
<b>0</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No creditable response</li> </ul> <p>0 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No creditable response</li> </ul> <p>0 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No creditable response</li> </ul> <p>0 marks</p>

Section B: Child language acquisition

Question	Answer	Marks
2	<p><b>Read the following text, which is a transcription of a conversation between Charlie (age 5) and his mother. They are just about to have breakfast.</b></p> <p><b>Analyse ways in which Charlie and his mother are using language in this conversation. In your answer, you should refer to specific details from the transcription, as well as to ideas and examples from your wider study of child language acquisition.</b></p> <p>Mark according to the levels of response marking criteria in Table B.</p> <p><b>Additional guidance:</b> The comments below are illustrative and are not intended to be exhaustive. Candidates may make other valid comments that should be credited. Candidates may organise their response in any appropriate way. They are not required to focus on child-directed speech and children's language features in separate sections.</p> <p><b>Responses might feature the following:</b></p> <p><b>Child-directed speech</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Charlie's mother uses a combination of interrogatives and declaratives. Some function as actual questions (such as <i>are you having toast first or cereal first</i> √) while others are in effect directives (<i>would you like to come to the table please</i> √ ... <i>you can look at it afterwards</i>), the latter with the implicature of 'not now'.</li> <li>There is relatively little need for topic management because of the immediate context (having breakfast); but Charlie's mother has to re-introduce the question of cereal choice (<i>which cereal then charlie</i> ↗) after the side-sequence about reading at meal times and the word <i>glance</i>.</li> <li>Charlie's mother uses raised volume to emphasise a negative/prohibition: <i>NO Charlie ... you DONT look at your books</i>.</li> <li>Realising that her five-year-old son has quite skilfully argued his case for reading at the table, Charlie's mother modulates her negatives and imperatives into future tense declaratives with a softening tag question: <i>well we'll read that and then we'll um get breakfast (.) shall we</i> ↗.</li> <li>She implicitly rewards Charlie for appropriating and using her lexical choice of <i>glance</i> (<i>i /wɒnə/ glance at it (.) i /wɒnə/ glance at it</i>) by echoing his utterance: <i>right (.) okay (.) we'll have breakfast and then we'll glance at it</i>.</li> <li>Then she makes her approval explicit: <i>thats a <u>good</u> word (.) GLANCE</i>.</li> </ul>	25

Question	Answer	Marks
2	<p><b>Child language features</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Charlie shows himself adept at using and avoiding turn-taking. While most of the exchanges are fulfilled adjacency pairs, Charlie offers a number of dispreferred responses and shows himself to be a sophisticated speaker in using raised volume and an interrupting overlap judiciously to threaten his mother's positive face needs: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Mother:</b>     <i>dont look at it whilst we are eating (.) you can look at it afterwards</i></li> <li><b>Charlie:</b>     <i>well YOU do</i></li> <li><b>Mother:</b>     <i>NO charlie</i></li> <li>                  <i>//</i></li> <li><b>Charlie:</b>     <i>you DO</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>Charlie also communicates resentment and unwillingness through lowered volume: <i>°im doing it°</i>.</li> <li>Most of Charlie's utterances are short and syntactically simple, using the simple and continuous present tense. He is able to self-correct: <i>cereal (1) i just dont want cereal (1) i just want cereal</i> and <i>whos my bowl to wheres the bowl (.) wheres the bowl for me↗</i>.</li> <li>Charlie seems to grasp the nuances of meaning in <i>glance</i> (= a brief look) and demonstrates this awareness by indicating that he's had his brief look: <i>THERE (.) finished</i>.</li> </ul> <p><b>References to relevant theories and research should be integrated into the response and may include:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Halliday's functions of language: Regulatory – <i>can I have a look at this↗ (1) let me</i>; Interactional – <i>but <u>you</u> look at <u>your</u> books</i>; Personal – <i>i /wɒnə/ glance at it</i>; Imaginative – <i>wheres the bowl for me↗</i>.</li> <li>Skinner (Behaviourism), positive reinforcement and approval.</li> <li>Piaget (cognitive development), the expected pre-operational stage of a child of Charlie's age, but signs of the concrete operational stage in Charlie's ability to use logical argument.</li> <li>Vygotsky – the zone of proximal development – inadvertently activated by Charlie's mother when she gives him the opportunity to argue that her behaviour (<i>occasionally i have a glance at a newspaper</i>) gives him licence to do similarly.</li> <li>Aldridge's order of acquisition of phonemes: Charlie is competent in using consonant clusters, e.g. <i>glance</i>.</li> </ul>	

**Marking criteria for Section B Question 2****Table B**

<b>Level</b>	<b>AO1: Read and demonstrate understanding of a wide variety of texts.</b> <b>5 marks</b>	<b>AO4: Demonstrate understanding of linguistic issues, concepts, methods and approaches.</b> <b>15 marks</b>	<b>AO5: Analyse and synthesise language data from a variety of sources.</b> <b>5 marks</b>
<b>5</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sophisticated understanding of text (meaning/context/audience)</li> <li>Insightful reference to characteristic features</li> </ul> <p>5 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sophisticated understanding of linguistic issues, concepts, methods and approaches</li> <li>Insightful reference to wider study of linguistic issues and concepts</li> <li>Insightful reference to linguistic methods and approaches taken by fully appropriate theorists</li> </ul> <p>13–15 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sophisticated selection, analysis and synthesis of language data</li> </ul> <p>5 marks</p>
<b>4</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Detailed understanding of text (meaning/context/audience)</li> <li>Effective reference to characteristic features</li> </ul> <p>4 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Detailed understanding of linguistic issues, concepts, methods and approaches</li> <li>Effective reference to wider study of linguistic issues and concepts</li> <li>Effective reference to linguistic methods and approaches taken by appropriate theorists</li> </ul> <p>10–12 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Detailed selection, analysis and synthesis of language data</li> </ul> <p>4 marks</p>
<b>3</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clear understanding of text (meaning/context/audience)</li> <li>Clear reference to characteristic features</li> </ul> <p>3 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clear understanding of linguistic issues, concepts, methods and approaches</li> <li>Clear reference to wider study of linguistic issues and concepts</li> <li>Clear reference to linguistic methods and approaches taken by appropriate theorists</li> </ul> <p>7–9 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clear selection, analysis and synthesis of language data</li> </ul> <p>3 marks</p>

<b>Level</b>	<b>AO1: Read and demonstrate understanding of a wide variety of texts.</b> <b>5 marks</b>	<b>AO4: Demonstrate understanding of linguistic issues, concepts, methods and approaches.</b> <b>15 marks</b>	<b>AO5: Analyse and synthesise language data from a variety of sources.</b> <b>5 marks</b>
<b>2</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limited understanding of text (meaning/context/audience)</li> <li>Limited reference to characteristic features</li> </ul> <p>2 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limited understanding of linguistic issues, concepts, methods and/or approaches</li> <li>Some limited reference to wider study of linguistic issues and/or concepts</li> <li>Some limited reference to linguistic methods and/or approaches taken by generally appropriate theorists</li> </ul> <p>4–6 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limited selection, analysis and/or synthesis of language data</li> </ul> <p>2 marks</p>
<b>1</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Basic understanding of text (meaning/context/audience)</li> <li>Minimal reference to characteristic features</li> </ul> <p>1 mark</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Basic understanding of linguistic issues, concepts, methods and/or approaches</li> <li>Minimal reference to wider study of linguistic issues and/or concepts</li> <li>Minimal reference to linguistic methods and/or approaches taken by theorists</li> </ul> <p>1–3 marks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Basic selection, analysis and/or synthesis of language data</li> </ul> <p>1 mark</p>
<b>0</b>	No creditable response 0 marks	No creditable response 0 marks	No creditable response 0 marks